

# Conflict of interest

**Experience of the European Commission** 

Hans Stander, OLAF Policy Officer



# Case 1 – Consultancy owned by spouse

- Technical officer
  - Access to confidential information
  - Unauthorised contacts with bidders
- Offered consultancy to tenderer, through consultancy company of spouse
- 2% of tender amount to be paid to company of spouse as charge for winning the tender
- External company declined offer and notified EU delegation



## Case 2 – Granting undue advantages

- Officials in charge of procurement procedures
  - Accepted benefits in exchange for 'flexible' supervision
  - Close social relationships between staff and companies that won contract



## Case 3 – Project manager favouring a particular consultancy

- Staff member manipulated public procurement procedure
- Favouring private person with whom he/she was in a private relationship
- Staff member consistently promoted interest of private company rather than administration in management of the contract
- Tender affected by:
  - Intentional splitting of contracts
  - Questionable delivery of services



### Three OLAF cases related to conflict of interest

- Case 1: passive corruption, Staff Regulation duty of loyalty and confidentiality, behaviour adversely affecting position
- Case 2: passive corruption, Staff Regulation duty of loyalty, infringement rules on conflict of interest (also FR) and behaviour adversely affecting position
- Case 3: breach of duty of impartiality and loyalty, infringement of rules on conflict of interest and behaviour adversely affecting on the staff member's position



#### **Need to address Conflict of Interest**

- 1. A disclosed CoI needs to be handled to prevent it from becoming an irregularity.
- 2. If not properly mitigated, objectives are at risk.
- 3. A CoI situation that endures, may prove detrimental to competition in the concerned market.
- 4. Unmanaged CoI situation may put financial interests and the reputation of the EU at risk.



# Usefulness of conflict of interest varies per management mode

Direct management

Entire anti-fraud cycle

Indirect management

- Focus on prevention, detection and oversight
- Shared management
  - Focus on prevention, providing guidance to Member States



### **Examples of red flags**

- unexplained or unusual favouritism of a particular contractor or seller;
- continued acceptance of high priced, low quality work etc;
- contracting employee fails to file or complete conflict of interest declaration;
- contracting employee declines promotion to a non-procurement position;
- contracting employee appears to conduct side business.
- close socialisation between a contracting employee and service or product provider;
- unexplained or sudden increase in wealth by the contracting employee;
- Beneficiary and procured sub-contractor share office space



### Statistics on 'ethics and integrity'

Work in progress

Fund	2015			2011-2015		
EAGF	26	180	14%	33	808	4%
EAFRD	44	232	19%	55	821	7%
Cohesion	22	522	4%	57	2.131	3%
Total	92	934	10%	145	3.760	4%

- Irregularities reported as fraudulent
- Ethics and integrity includes irregularities associated with conflict of interest and also corruption
- Data reported by Member States to the European Commission



### Thank you for your attention

